

Vonda Long-Dillard Associate Director Federal Regulatory Relations AT&T Communications 1120 20th St. NW, Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone 202 457-2043

E-Mail: vonda.long@att.com

September 22, 2017

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls, CG Docket

No. 17-59

Dear Ms. Dortch:

At the August 29, 2017 meeting, to discuss the above referenced docket, AT&T agreed to provide additional information in response to questions that were raised in the meeting. In particular, AT&T agreed to provide information to Staff on the following: (a) the contractual language on which AT&T relies to enable blocking through its wholesale IP service; (b) the signal or message, if any, heard by the calling party when AT&T blocks a call on its IP network; (c) AT&T's process for responding to unblock requests; and (d) whether AT&T only blocks calls terminating to AT&T customers.

As discussed during our August 29 meeting, under the terms of its contracts for a wholesale IP-based call termination service,² AT&T may block calls that it reasonably believes are prohibited pursuant to language included in the AT&T Business Service Guide, which is part of the contract for such IP-based service. Specifically, the Service Guide provides that AT&T may "block any call, communication or transmission originating from, or terminating to, a telephone number, without notice of any kind to Customer, if AT&T reasonably believes that the call, communication or transmission constitutes Prohibited Traffic." Prohibited Traffic, in turn, is defined to include "calls, communications, or transmissions being transmitted, placed, or attempted that AT&T reasonably believes are in violation of applicable laws, rules or regulations or are nuisance calls."

¹ Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Notice of Inquiry, 32 FCC Rcd 2306 (2017).

² The wholesale service is offered for U.S.-originated domestic outbound (1+) calls and U.S.-originated international outbound (011+) originated calls.

³ General Provisions, GP-10.2, found at http://serviceguidenew.att.com/. Specifically cited as evidence of Prohibited Traffic are "[a]rtificial traffic stimulation, revenue pumping, regulatory arbitrage."

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch September 22, 2017 Page 2 of 2

AT&T signals the wholesale customer when AT&T blocks a call to ensure that the wholesale customer is aware that the call did not connect. AT&T does not have any control of whether upstream providers pass on that signal until it reaches the calling party. AT&T may change (and has in the past changed) the blocked call signal it provides to wholesale customers. Indeed, AT&T currently is developing a custom blocked call signal that it intends to implement as part of its call blocking program. AT&T does not publicize the blocked call signal because doing so could enable bad actors to evade AT&T's efforts to block such calls.

Regarding unblock requests, AT&T seeks to establish and maintain bi-directional open lines of communication with its wholesale customers. Those lines of communication are available for requests to unblock a telephone number in the event a customer wants to make such a request. In the very limited instances in which AT&T has received an unblock request, AT&T first conducts an independent investigation to determine whether to sustain or remove the call block. As part of any such investigation, if necessary, AT&T may coordinate with the wholesale customer submitting the request and, as appropriate, seek the customer's assistance. In AT&T's experience, the process for troubleshooting and resolving a call completion issue takes anywhere from a few hours to a few days, although AT&T aims to conclude an investigation (and thus determine whether to remove or maintain a call block) within a 24-hour period.⁵

Finally, AT&T's call blocking program applies to all traffic transiting AT&T's IP network, which includes traffic destined to terminate to AT&T end-user customers and non-AT&T customers and end-user customers.

Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, this letter is being filed electronically for inclusion in the record of the above-referenced proceeding. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vonda Long-Dillard
Vonda Long-Dillard

cc: Mark Stone
Micah Caldwell
Karen Schroeder
John B. Adams
Richard Smith
Kurt Schroeder
Jerusha Burnett
Henning Schulzrinne

⁵ See Comments of AT&T, CG Docket No. 17-59, at 11 n.30, 13 n.35 (filed July 31, 2017).